RABIES BULLETIN EUROPE - Vol. 8/No 2/1984

CONTENTS

				P٤	age	•
1.	INTI	RODUCTIC	DN		1	
2.	RAB	IES IN EU	JROPE, 2ND QUARTER 1984		1	
	2.1	- 2.27 Sit	uation in Individual Countries	2	-	7
3.	MISC	CELLANEO	OUS		8	
	3.1	WHO-Info Control -	ormation - Guidelines for Dog Rabies - WHO Document VPH/83.43	8	-	13
	3.2	Report o Control i	n a Workshop – Review of a Model of Rabies n Wild Foxes: The 'Ontario' Model.	14	-	15
4.	RAB	IES CASE	DATA			
	4.1	Table 1,	Europe 2nd Quarter 1984	1	16	
	4.2	Table 2,	Europe, Accumulated Totals for the Period 1 January - 30 June 1984	1	17	
	4.3	Table 3,	Europe, Other Animal Species, 2nd Guarter 1984	1	8	
	4.4	Tables,	European Countries in the 2nd Quarter 1984 and the European Part of the USSR in the 4th Quarter 1983	19	-	30
5.	LIST	OF CON	TRIBUTORS	31	-	32
6.	ANN	EX 1:Map	of Rabies Cases in Europe, 2nd Quarter 1984			
	ANN	EX 2:Map	of Rabies Cases in Turkey, 2nd Quarter 1984	1		

The RABIES BULLETIN EUROPE is compiled and edited by the

wHO Collaborating Centre for Rabies
Surveillance and ResearchDr. L.G. Schneider, ChiefDr. W.W. Mueller, Ass.Chief
K.-P. Hohnsbeen, Statistician

At the Federal Research Institute for Animal Virus Diseases

D 7400 TUEBINGEN, Postfach 1149 Federal Republic of Germany

Tel. 07071 - 603 332 TELEX: 07 26 28 46

The BULLETIN is sponsored by the WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION in Geneva, and the INTERNATIONAL OFFICE OF EPIZOOTICS in Paris.

The financial support of the WHO Centre by the BUNDESMINISTERIUM FUR JUGEND, FAMILIE UND GESUNDHEIT, Bonn-Bad Godesberg, is gratefully acknowledged.

1. INTRODUCTION

This BULLETIN describes the reported rabies cases in Europe for the second quarter 1984. The situation in general appears under 2., and in individual countries under 2.1 to 2.27.

Rabies data for the first and second quarter 1984 have not yet been received for the European part of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) and not for the second quarter 1984 for Czechoslovakia (CZE); the rabies situation in the European part of the USSR in the fourth quarter 1983 is included in this BULLETIN.

In the miscellaneous section under 3.1 we inform on a recent WHO publication "Guidelines for Dog Rabies Control". Under 3.2 a report of a workshop reviews a model of rabies control in wild foxes.

The rabies case data are tabulated for the second quarter 1984 under four.

The last part lists the official contributors to this BULLETIN.

The geographical distribution of cases in Europe of the second quarter 1984 is shown on the maps of Europe and Turkey in the Annex.

2. RABIES IN EUROPE, 2ND QUARTER 1984

During the second quarter 1984, 4867 cases of rabies were reported in Europe. These were 3890 cases in wild animals (79.9%) and 977 cases in domestic animals (20.1%). Of the cases in wild animals 3414 (70.1% of total) were foxes, 131 badgers, 105 other mustelids, 175 deer and 65 other and unspecified species. Of the 977 cases in domestic animals 384 were dogs (of which 280 (72.9%) were reported from Turkey), 179 cats, 217 cattle, 29 horses, 152 small ruminants and 16 other domestic animals.

Compared to the previous quarter (7511 cases) we register a decrease by 35.2%. This is due to the reduced number of foxes reported rabid (1/84 = 5816 and 2/84 = 3414) and is the usual annual trend of fox rabies. Only two countries, Austria and Italy report an increase (from 401 cases to 441 and from 128 to 141 respectively). Turkey reports an increase too (from 337 to 426 cases) but this countries does not follow the fox rabies pattern.

Bulgaria, Denmark, Finland, Great Britain, Ireland, Iceland, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, and the mainland of Spain continued to remain rabies-free. There were no cases reported for this quarter from Greece and the norther part of Africa belonging to Spain.

There were no cases of rabies in man reported.

Individual country reports follow:

2.1 Rabies in Austria (AUT) by W. Krocza and E. Scharfen

During the second quarter 1984, rabies was diagnosed in 363 (82.3%) foxes, 67 (15.2%) other wild animals and 11 (2.5%) domestic animals adding to a total of 441 cases. That is an increase of 10% compared to the first quarter 1984.

There is a high incidence of infection of the in westerly direction moving epidemic in the Bundesländer (federal provinces) Kärnten (all Bezirke (districts) except for Wolfsberg and Völkermarkt), Styria (Bezirk Murau) and Salzburg (Bezirke Tamsweg, St. Johann/Pongau, Zell am See).

Rabies was registered in the Bundesländer Tyrol (Bezirke Kitzbühel, Kufstein, Reutte) and Vorarlberg (Bezirke Bregenz, Feldkirch, Bludenz) in communities near the national border.

Upper Austria had some cases in the northern Mühlviertel (Bezirke Urfahr-Umgebung, Freistadt). Whilst Lower Austria experienced an increase of rabies cases to the north of the river Danube in the Wald- and Weinviertel (Bezirke Gmünd, Zwettl, Waidhofen/Thaya, Horn, Hollabrunn), the part of this Bundesland to the south of the river Danube was nearly rabies-free (1 case in the Bezirk Neunkirchen). The Burgenland also had only one case (Bezirk Oberwart). There were scattered cases in the southeastern part of Styria.

The Bundesland Vienna remained rabies-free.

2.2 Rabies in Belgium (BEL) by R. Depierreux

During the second quarter 1984, 87 rabies cases were reported in 66 communities in 52 foxes, 28 cattle, 4 sheep, 1 dog, 1 polecat and 1 badger.

Compared to the first quarter 1984, we notice a status quo with regard to the geographical distribution of cases and a decrease of registered cases (from 129 during the first quarter 1984 to 87). The decrease is observed mainly in the province of Namur (from 76 to 29 cases) and affects essentially the number of foxes (from 55 in the previous quarter to 17).

Looking at the localisation of the rabies cases it appears that the Meuse, the river of special importance, plays perfectly its role as natural barrier to resist the advance of the epidemic – as, where rabies occurs on both river banks of the Meuse one can see that the disease progresses on the right bank from east to west but on the left bank from south to north and only then in easterly direction where it, apparently, again faces stubbornly the river Meuse.

2.3 Bulgaria (BUL)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.4 Rabies in Czechoslovakia (CZE)

Data not received before going to press.

2.5 Rabies in Germany, Democratic Republic (DDR)

415 rabies cases were registered during the second quarter 1984. 361 of these (87%) were in wild animals and 54 (13%) in domestic animals. We notice the usual reduction of cases from the first quarter (570 cases) to the second-one (by 27.2%) following the fox's annual peak in the beginning of the year. Compared to the second quarter 1983 (533 cases) there is a reduction to this quarter by 22.1%.

Rabies is recorded in all departments (Bezirke) of the German Democratic Republic. The Bezirk with the highest incidence is Magdeburg (74 cases), followed by the Bezirk Karl-Marx-Stadt (52 cases). Whilst the Bezirk Magdeburg has only 4 cases reported amongst domestic animals (5.4% of 74), the Bezirk Karl-Marx-Stadt has again a rather high percentage of these animals affected by the disease (34.6% of 52 - last quarter 45.2% of 126).

2.6 Denmark (DEN)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.7 Rabies in Germany, Federal Republic (DEU)

A total of 1514 rabies cases were reported during the second quarter 1984, 578 cases less than the previous quarter and 181 cases more than the second quarter 1983. The reduction of cases is almost entirely due to the registered rabies in foxes (from 1656 to 1149 cases) manifesting the common trend of European fox rabies.

There is hardly any change with regard to the geographical coverage of the country. Larger areas with no rabies at the moment are in northern Germany only: the Bundesland (federal province) Schleswig-Holstein, the city states Hamburg and Bremen, parts of Lower Saxony and the northern part of Nordrhein-Westfalen.

2.8 Finland (FIN)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.9 Rabies in France (FRA) by J. Blancou

During the second quarter 1984, 687 rabies cases were reported, 319 less than the previous quarter (31.7% decrease). 510 cases are registered in foxes (74.2% of total), 28 in other wildlife species and 148 in domestic animals (35 dogs, 23 cats, 13 cattle, 53 small ruminants and 12 horses). The départements (departments) Meuse and Ardennes had the highest figures with 68 and 61 registered cases respectively. The general tendency remains a stabilisation of the front, including Seine-et-Marne, where the front had gained substantially during the first quarter 1984.

2.10 Rabies in Greece (GRE)

No case of rabies was reported during the 2nd quarter 1984.

2.11 United Kingdom (GBR)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.12 Rabies in Hungary (HUN) by L. Koltai

During the second quarter 1984, 156 rabies cases were registered in Hungary. The second quarter 1983 (129 cases) had only 27 cases less compared to this one. The percentage of the involvement of the fox in the rabies epizootic is similar too: 137 cases the current quarter (87.8%) and 107 cases the second quarter 1983 (82.9%).

During this quarter, 19 Komitats (departments) were infected, only one Komitat, Komárom, had no rabies cases. The Komitat Veszprém in Transdanubia, a hilly and forest region, had the highest figure of cases (19). It is interesting to note that the Komitat Hajdu-Bihar, with the second highest figure (17 cases), is located in the lowland, an area with intensive agriculture.

As practiced every year throughout the country, during April gassing of fox dens was carried out with the intention to reduce the fox population and thus rabies cases.

2.13 Iceland (ISL)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.14 Ireland (IRE)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.15 <u>Rabies in Italy (ITA)</u> by S. Prosperi

During the second quarter 1984, 141 cases of rabies were reported from 60 municipalities. Of these, 138 involved wild animals (123 foxes, 10 badgers, 3 stone martens and 2 pine martens) and 3 domestic animals (2 dogs and 1 bovine).

Twenty-nine communities, comprising a total of 825 km^2 , were affected for the first time: 10 in the province of Trento (153 km²), 3 in the province of Sondrio (239 km²), 4 in the province of Bergamo (121 km²), 9 in the province of Como (119 km²), 3 in Valle d'Aosta region (193 km²).

In April, rabies appeared for the first time in Valle d'Aosta in wild animals. The disease was probably introduced by an infected fox over the Piccolo St. Bernardo Pass (2,200 m altitude) from Savoy (France) where outbreaks have been reported. Due to the closeness of the newly infected area to the Gran Paradiso National Park there is the danger of spread to the latter since, any means of fox population control, like hunting for example, would be prohibited.

2.16 Rabies in Luxembourg (LUX) by R. Frisch

There were only 9 rabies cases recorded during the second quarter 1984. Of these 5 were in foxes. Representatives of the Veterinary Department in Luxembourg assume that during the grazing season rabies amongst cattle is again going to increase, especially in autumn.

2.17 Rabies in the Netherlands (NET) by C.J. Vermeulen

During the second quarter of 1984, 16 animals were diagnosed rabies positive in the Netherlands.

15 cases were registered in wild animals (10 foxes and 5 badgers) and 1 in a domestic animal (1 bovine).

All these cases were again located in the south-east part of the province of Limburg, very close to the Belgian and German border.

2.18 Norway (NOR)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.19 Rabies in Poland (POL)

A total of 284 rabies cases were reported for Poland during the second quarter 1984, nearly 100 less than the previous quarter (382), but nearly three times as many as during the second quarter 1983 (96). There are 215 cases registered in wild animals (152 foxes, 16 racoon dogs, 5 badger, 9 pine martens, 4 polecats, 26 roe deer, 1 wild boar, 1 hedgehog and 1 squirrel) and 69 cases in domestic animals (28 dogs, 34 cats, 1 other domesticated carnivore, 5 cattle and 1 rabbit).

The incidence of the disease is much higher in the western half of the country; in the eastern half cases are scattered and some departements are free of rabies.

2.20 Portugal (POR)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.21 Rabies in Romania (ROM)

Only 16 rabies cases were reported from Romania during the second quarter 1984: 7 foxes, 1 badger, 2 other wild animals, 2 cats, 2 sheep and 2 other domestic animals.

Whilst during the last quarter 11 provinces reported rabies (with 90 cases altogether), there were only 6 provinces during this quarter. The incidence of the disease is usually higher in the western half of the country with Salaj being the province where most of the cases are registered.

2.22 Rabies in Spain (SPA)

There were no further reports from Melilla (North Africa).

The mainland of Spain remained rabies-free.

2.23 Sweden (SWE)

The country remained rabies-free.

2.24 Rabies in Switzerland and Liechtenstein (SWI and LIE) by A.I. Wandeler

During the second quarter of 1984, the Swiss Rabies Diagnostic Center received 1184 animals for examination. 210 (18%) of these were positive for rabies compared to 274 (18% of 1506) in the previous quarter and 188 (20% of 951) in the second quarter of 1983. 68% were seen in foxes, 9% in badgers and 6% in other mustelids. 29 (14% of all positive cases) were diagnosed in domestic animals. An additional 55 foxes, 2 badgers, 1 marten and 1 chamois were diagnosed histologically in canton Vaud. They bring the total of proven rabies cases to 269 (370 in the previous quarter).

Again, no significant movement of rabies into new areas was noted during the period of observation. This is in part due to the effectiveness of barriers of orally immunized fox populations impeding the spread of the disease into uninfected areas.

Six persons were bitten by proven rabid animals, 5 by cats and 1 by a fox. An unrecorded number of people received post-exposure treatments because of nonbite exposures.

2.25 Rabies in Turkey (TUR)

With 426 rabies cases during the second quarter 1984, Turkey reports 89 cases more than the previous quarter (337) and 85 cases less than during the same period last year (2/1983 = 511).

Only one badger and 4 house mice were diagnosed rabies positive in wild animals (1.2% of total). The dog (65.7% of all cases) maintaines the disease and infects above all the other domestic animals (for this quarter:

30 cats, 82 cattle, 11 sheep, 4 goats, 4 other domestic herbivores, 5 horses, 5 donkeys).

The country is fairly evenly covered by rabies though there is more case reporting from the central and western parts; the eastern part reports single cases and some provinces (usually 5 to 6) have no cases at all. There is a high incidence of the disease in the provinces Izmir (38), Samsun (27) and Konya (26).

2.26 Rabies in Yugoslavia (YUG)

With 406 cases of rabies during the second quarter 1984, Yugoslavia shows the seasonal change of fox rabies compared to the first quarter of the year (661 cases). Of the total, 372 cases are in foxes (91.6%), 16 in other wild animals and only 18 (4.4%) in domestic animals.

There are 3 cases registered in Serbia most likely representing two types of rabies. The one dog and one fox near Beograd are in an area which seems newly infected from SAP Wojwodina connected to the fox rabies in the north of the country whilst the dog case in Svrljig in the center of Serbia is in an area where the urban or dog type rabies is expected.

The distribution of cases in general resembles that of the first quarter 1984.

2.27 Rabies in the Union of the Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) by V. Pokrovskiy and B. Cherkasskiy

4th QUARTER 1983

During the fourth quarter 1983, 228 rabies cases were recorded in animals in the European part of the USSR. These were 128 cases more than the last quarter and 8 more as compared to the fourth quarter 1982.

As in previous periods, the majority of cases was recorded in the Ukraine (49.1%), Povoljye and the Ural regions (15.8%), the Central regions (12.3%) and the North Caucasus regions (9.6%).

There were single cases in the Moldavian, Lithuanian, Latvian, Estonian and Byelorussian SSR's and no case in the north-western part of the country.

The increase of rabies cases in the European part of the USSR is chiefly due to an increase of 66 cases in the Ukraine, 31 cases in Povoljye and Ural regions and 15 cases in Central and North Caucasus regions whilst in other territories the level of infection remained fairly much the same as in the previous quarter.

3. MISCELLANEOUS

3.1 <u>WHO-Information -</u> <u>Guidelines for Dog</u> Rabies Control - WHO Document VPH/83.43

The Guidelines for Dog Rabies Control resulted from the amalgamation of numerous comprehensive submissions from a large number of experts from all over the world and was coordinated by Dr. K. Bögel, Veterinary Public Health Unit, Division of Communicable Diseases, at WHO-Headquarters, Geneva, Switzerland. The Guidelines are intended for use in countries where plans and services for rabies control are being developed, as well as in countries with established rabies programmes requiring assessment with regard to management, overall policies and orientation. The annexes show many detailed examples to copy or to adapt for national use. A selected choice of references helps further to deepen the knowledge to prepare such dog rabies programmes.

The document lists seven sections:

The canine rabies situation. The dog population in urban and rural areas. Planning and management of control programmes. Legislation. Techniques in local programme execution. Measures for protection of rabies-free countries. International cooperation.

Though our European rabies surveillance includes at the moment only one country, Turkey, with a canine reservoir, and only occasionally cases in countries formerly with canine reservoirs (f.e. Spain, Yugoslavia, Greece), we are going to select items from this specific guide for our readers as the elimination of the infection in dogs is also important where reservoirs are in wildlife since dogs remain the most important transmitter to man.

The canine rabies situation.

The chapter describes the epidemiology of canine rabies, the occurrence of rabies in dogs and in people, canine rabies control measures and trends in their application and the prevention of spread of canine rabies into rabies-free areas.

Nevertheless, not only canine rabies is referred to. To give a review the three tables are repeated from the annex to sum up human rabies data-worldwide.

Summary of Human Rabies Data, Worldwide.

A. Human Rabies Case Mortality; Annual Averages (based on Tables 1.1-1.5)

~	Cases	Range of Cases/Country
Continent	Annual Average	+ Year
Latin America	251.4	0 - 105
North America	2.1	0.09 - 2
Europe	2.8 ^x)	0 - 0.8
Africa	9.8	0.3 - 44
Asia	86.4 (263) ^{XX)}	0 - 336

x) without Turkey and imported cases

xx) data in brackets for Philippines, Sri Lanka + Thailand

B. Human Rabies Case Mortality by Reported Animal Cases and Species (based on Tables 1.1-1.5)*

Continent or Country	Human Mortality/ 1000 animal cases	% involvement of main vector species
Europe	0.3/1000	78.8 % wildlife
Turkey (30-50 human cases/year)	20-30 /1000	60.5 % dogs
North America	0.5/1000	76.5 % wildlife
Latin America	10.7/1000	76.5 % dogs
Africa	82.7/1000	68.6 % dogs
Asia	35.8/1000	92.6 % dogs

Tables 1.1-1.5 refer to reported rabies cases in animals and man in countries of the four continents affected (the editors).

Estimated Annua	al Human Rabies	Mortality Rates per	100 000 Inhabitants
Europe			
Austria	0.003	Switzerland	0.009
GDR	0.001	Turkey	0.07 - 0.17
Romania	0.003	Yugoslavia	0.004
America			
Brazil	0.10	Honduras	0.13
Ecuador	0.27	Mexico	0.10
El Salvador	0.24	USA	0.001
Asia			
India	1.7 - 3.3	Philippines	0.5 - 0.6
Indonesia	0.05	Sri Lanka	1.62
Nepal	0.12	Thailand	0.71
Africa			
Algeria	0.10	Mali	0.11
Botswana	0.36	Morocco	0.25
Cameroon	0.04	Sudan	0.08
Congo			
(Brazzaville)	0.14	Tanzania	0.06
Egypt	0.04	Tunisia	0.16
Ethiopia	0.07	Uganda	0.10
Ghana	0.38	Zambia	0.12
Malawi	0.11	Zimbabwe	0.14

The above figures speak a clear language: countries with canine rabies reservoirs have the highest human rabies case mortality rates, Central Europe, the United States of America and Canada, with a predominant wildlife rabies, the lowest.

But we learn too, under the subject canine rabies control, that Europe had to fight canine rabies up to the mid-century. Scandinavian countries had already successfully brought the disease under control in the 19th century by destroying stray dogs and placing domesticated dogs in quarantine. The veterinary services of Hungary showed, by first field trials in 1937 and a nationwide campaign from 1939 to 1944, that canine rabies can be eliminated in a well planned programme based on the mass vaccination of dogs in addition to the classical measures of movement and contact restriction and of stray dog control.

Mass vaccination is no doubt an important tool for dog rabies control and following the example given by Hungary several countries became free of rabies: Malaysia, Japan and Hong Kong in 1956, Province of Taiwan (China) and Portugal in 1961, southern Italy 1971, to give some examples.

To remain rabies-free is, of course, just as important an effort. The chapter on prevention of spread of canine rabies to rabies-free areas

10

С.

elaborates on ban of import, quarantine, vaccination requirements, inforcement of health regulations, etc.

The dog population in urban and rural areas.

The knowledge of the numbers of owned dogs and of the abundance of unowned dogs is a prerequisite for the planning of animal control and vaccination campaigns and for epidemiological and ecological studies.

And, as any decision made by responsible authorities concerning dog rabies control should be based on cost-benefit analysis the first step should always be: collection of information on dog populations. The three most important items here are

- a) abundance
- b) ratio of owned versus unowned dogs
- c) dog population turnover.

Obviously, in countries where dog census and licensing is practiced the planning of vaccination campaigns is made easier, if information has to be collected with elaborate estimates, the work is hampered.

In fact, a genuine information on dog population and population turnover is more complex and only in more recent times have zoologists studied domestic animals for the acquisition of knowledge concerning the abundance, habitat requirements, movement, dynamics and behaviour of dogs, along with sociological data regarding dog-human relationships, etc.

The chapter shows with many examples in the annexes and helpful references how to aquire information on dog populations and how to initiate vital epidemiological and ecological studies with the view of dog rabies control.

Planning and Management of Control Programmes:

There is a frame for the execution of control programmes in every country. The chapter appeals for an approach to be as effective as possible.

An important point is the coordination of the different offices and interacting factors involved. Governments should officially appoint, with the agreement of the ministers concerned (Health, Agriculture, Finance, etc.), a national programme director, who could also serve as secretary of an interministerial executive committee.

The national programme director should prepare a comprehensive national plan aiming at the elimination of human and canine rabies.

If required, legal provisions should be modified to permit smooth and effective programme implementation.

The effectiveness of various inputs in a complex programme may be forecast or assessed in terms of funds required and health or services obtained. The comparison of costs of different strategies, for example, is most useful for policy decision-making: Policy A: satisfactory coverage of the whole country by human post-exposure treatment, using modern, safe and highly potent human vaccines and immunoglobulins.

Policy B: total vaccine and vaccine delivery costs could be calculated for countrywide mass vaccination of dogs and for stray dog control.

Besides organisatorical, technical and policy-making points, one needs special attention: the evaluation during execution and on completion of the programme. During the programme shortcomings can be corrected and on completion the maintainance of a rabies-free state needs to be considered.

In the annexes is amongst others an excerpt of a work plan for human and canine rabies elimination in Tanzania.

Legislation

This section sets out draft model legislation for use by countries when drawing up or updating a national law, act or ordinance for the control of rabies in dogs. It is based on the legislation used in countries that have conducted successful campaigns to control rabies in dogs and by these means have eliminated the disease in the canine and human populations.

Techniques in local programme execution

This section reviews the factors that must be considered before the decision is made to embark on a programme for rabies control in dogs. There are very practical technical suggestions and numerous examples are given in the annexes ranging from posters for publicity work, dog catching equipment design, preparation of reagents in the laboratory, suggested vaccine certificates, a method for preparation of vaccines and methods of vaccine quality control.

As methods of dog vaccination campaigns the following is offered:

- a) Continual dog vaccination at private or government veterinary clinics to which dog owners take their dogs.
- b) Dog vaccination campaigns through neighbourhood vaccination centres.
- c) One-day campaigns covering whole municipalities or states.
- d) House to house dog vaccination campaigns with complete coverage of residential areas and selected dog removal.
- e) House to house dog vaccination campaigns with entire community coverage and no dog removal.

For countries where tissue culture equipment and specific pathogen free or large scale animal breedings are not available a method for the preparation of an inactivated-virus rables vaccine from lamb or kidbrain is recommended and with all details for its production described.

Measures for protection of rabies-free countries

The evaluation of presently applied regulations shows a wide divergency of measures, partly determined by local conditions, historical developments and regionally influenced rules. Some of these measures cause quite a bit of hardship on the dog and its owner when crossing country borders whilst travelling. To ease these conditions, to initiate uniform import procedures, to modernize requirements, taking into consideration immunological conditions and tests, measures for the protection of rabies-free countries have been reviewed and suggestions have been formulated in the following table.

TABLE: Proposed policies for transfer of dogs between countries and territories of different epidemiological status.

	Policie	s applying to	importing countries	or territories
Exporting countries or territories	Specified rabies-free	Rabies-free	Widely rabies-free or elimination pro- gramme in progress	Widely rabies infected
Specified * rabies-free	1	2	2	2
Rabies-free	4**	2	2	2
Rabies-infected	4 4	4 or 3	3 or 4	2

countries which specify other countries or are specified by other countries for acceptance of animals under Policy No. 1.

without the alternative to at least 4 months' quarantine. Some countries may not require vaccination prior to, but on entry into quarantine.

Policies

No. 1: individual licence of import, trans-shipment or vaccination at 30 days prior to embarkation.

No. 2: valid International Certificate of Vaccination against Rabies and certificate of health and origin.

No. 3: valid International Certificate of Vaccination against Rabies, house and leash confinement and veterinary/health surveillance for at least 4 months.

No. 4: valid International Certificate of Vaccination against Rabies, quarantine of at least 4 months. Upon demonstration of seroconversion the animal can be released subject to application of measures specified for Policy No. 3. Blood sample is taken at entry into quarantine when also an obligatory dose of vaccine is given. Further booster doses can be given if indicated by lack of seroconversion.

The document is available free of charge on request from:

The Chief, Veterinary Public Health Unit, Division of Communicable Diseases, World Health Organization CH-1211 Geneva 27 /Switzerland. 3.2 <u>Report on a workshop</u> -<u>REVIEW OF A MODEL OF RABIES CONTROL IN WILD FOXES:</u> The 'ONTARIO' model. by P.J. Bacon*, F.G. Ball, and D. Mollison

A group of Canadian workers have developed a sophisticated simulation model of rabies spread in a natural population of the red fox simulation of attempted control (Vulpes vulpes) which allows by vaccination. The model is based on data from a most comprehensive study of the ecology of the red fox in Ontario, these data being quite independent of data on case incidences of rabid foxes in Ontario. The model is specifically designed to meet the requirements of the authorities locally responsible for rabies control policies. As such the model explicitly uses many paramaters (over thirty) so that the managers can easily see the relevance of the input parameters to the model. The model is a spatial stochastic Monte-Carlo simulation, based on a 14x14 grid of fox home ranges. The simulated year is split into four seasons, winter, spring, summer and autumn, reflecting respectively the breeding, denning, pup-rearing and dispersal phases of a fox's year. The incubation and spread of foxes is modelled on a monthly time-scale within this coarser framework. Age and sex differences in fecundity, mortality and dispersal are accounted for, according to data derived from the ecological study of foxes in Ontario. The controlling parameters are held in a data file so that, within reason, the model can be parametrised to represent foxes in other regions/countries if suitable data are available.

The Canadian workers responsible for the model's development (DRS. VOIGT, TINLINE, BROEKHOVEN and POND) attended a workshop meeting organised by the Royal Statistical College (R.S.S.), London on July 9-11 1984. The aim of the meeting, which was attended by biologists, mathematicians and veterinary officials, was to allow a discussion of the Ontario model in relation to the findings of a number of simpler models that have recently been investigated by British workers in the R.S.S. group.

The members of the British working party were impressed by the attention to biological detail and user-friendly output facilities of the Ontario model: even those who favour simple models felt that their understanding of rabies epizootics had been enhanced by a detailed consideration of the processes as encapsulated in the Ontario model. During the course of the workshop the Ontario model was run with two additonal sets of data provided by the British group: data for Bristol (Dr. S. Harris) and for rural Wales (Dr. H.G.Lloyd), U.K. It produced sensible results with these differing data sets. The researchers concerned are presently considering the implications of those results and hope to investigate them further in the near future.

A number of possible limitations of the present Ontario model were discussed at the meeting, and suitable improvements suggested. The main reservation expressed by members of the British group was that the large number of parameters in the Ontario model presently made it difficult to assess which ones had what (if any) important effects on the model's outcomes; this difficulty was accentuated by limitations of computer storage space and the long times required for the stochastic simulations. The further sensitivity analyses already planned by the Canadian group should assist with this interpretation, but investigation of some changes to the model's structure and simplification of its parameters were recommended as additional exercises.

The details of, and results from, the Ontario model, together with the findings from a number of simpler models will shortly by published as a book by Academic Press (Bacon, P.J. (editor) 1985, Population dynamics of rabies in wildlife) and will not be described here. Further brief details of this meeting will appear as an occasional publication of the Institute of Terrestrial Ecology (R. and D. paper 99, Merlewood Research Station, Grange over Sands, Cumbria LA11 6JU, England) and a comprehensive 'User Guide' to the model has been produced by the Canadian group (DR. D. VOIGT, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Wildlife Branch, P.O. Box 50, Maple, Ontario, Canada LOJ 1EO).

* The Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, Merlewood Research Station, Grange-over Sands Cumbria LA11 6J4, United Kingdom.

	· •	N		
- 1	64	61	and the second s	
	1.1	A. 4	an Base	

EUR EUROPE	2/84	•			RABI	ES	CASE	S					1. 4.	84 - 30	+ 6+84
LOCATION		0 0 M	EST	I C A	ИІИ	ALS		WILD ANIMALS							
CODE NAME	DØG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEF GOAT	OTHERS	TUTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHÉR MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	HUMAN CASES	TOTAL
AUT AUSTRIA BEL BELGIUM BUL BULGARIA *	1 1	7	28	ine tra	3 4		11 33 0	363 52	25 1	10 1	31	1	430 54 0		441 87 0
DDR GERMAN DEM, REFUBLIC DEN DENMARK **	14	23	4	1	12	-	54 0	337	1	9	13	L	361 0		415
DEU FED.REP. OF GERMANY FIN FINLAND *	11	37	56	10	46	2	162 0	1149	52	52	92	7	1352		1514 0
FRA FRANCE GBR UNITED KINGDOM * GRE GREECE *	35	23	26	12	53	2444	149 0 0	510	10		5	13	538 0 0		687 0 0
HUN HUNGARY IRE IRELAND * ISL ICELAND *	4	7	2	14	3	1.00	16 0 0	137	1224	1	1	1	140 0 0		156 0 0
ITA ITALY	2	120	1	42		(Table)	3	123	10	5	1444	1244	138		141
LUX LUXEMBOURG	1.221	1	2. (<u>111</u> 2)	- m.:-	3	-	4	5					5		9
NET NETHERLANDS NOR NORWAY *	(avec		1				1 0	10	5	****			15 0		16 0
POL POLAND POR PORTUGAL *	28	34	5		-	2	69 0	152	5	13	26	19	215		284 0
ROM ROMANIA SPA SPAIN * SWE SWEDEN *		2			2	2	6 0 0	7	1	***		2	10 0 0		16 0
SWI SWITZERLAND + LIECHT TUR TURKEY YUG YUGOSLAVIA	3 280 5	11 30 4	5 82 7	1 5 -	10 15 1		30 421 18	197 	20 1	14	7	1 4 16	239 5 388		269 426 406
TOTAL	384	179	217	29	152	16	977	3414	131	105	175	65	3890	0	4867
PER CENT	7.9	3.7	4,5	0.6	3.1	0.3	20.1	70+1	2.7	2.2	3.6	1.3	79.9	0.0	100.0

* NO CASES, ** NO DATA.

EUR EUROPE	1-2/84 RABIES CASI							S						84 - 30. 6.84		
LOCATION		υом	EST	IC A	МΙМ	ALS			WILD ANIMALS							
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	HUMAN	TOTAL	
AUT AUSTRIA BEL BELGIUM BUL BULGARIA *	42	14 7	2 42	ī	5 13		25 65 0	711 148	45 1	16 2	44	1	817 151 0		842 216 0	
DDR GERMAN DEM, REPUBLIC DEN DENMARK *	39	19 61	18	5	1 49		27 172 0	754 737	1 1	4 25	16 48	2	775 813 0		802 985 0	
FIN FINLAND *	43	93 66	110 60	25	97	5 2	373 0 341	2805 1311	10	129	208	14	3233 0 1352		3606 0	
GBR UNITED KINGDOM * GRE GREECE * HUN HUNGARY	15	23	11	1	T		0	540			-7		0		0	
IRE IRELAND * ISL ICELAND *				1	5		00	200		1	c.	2	0 0		621 0 0	
LUX LUXEMBOURG NET NETHERLANDS		2	1 8 6	1	12 10	1	5 24 16	243 19 32	14 - 9	7	1 1	-	264 21 42		269 45 58	
POL POLAND POR FORTUGAL *	51	65	26		-	3	0 145 0	408	9	18	52	34	0 521 0		0 666 0	
ROM ROMANIA SPA SPAIN * SWE SWEDEN *	3	8	. 1		68	2	82 0 0	19	3	-	-	2	24 0		106	
SWI SWITZERLAND + LIECHT TUR TURKEY YUG YUGOSLAVIA	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\524\\11\end{array}$	31 55 15	9 125 14	2 5 1	28 28 3	18 3	80 755 47	493 - 988	27 1	24	14	1 7 32	559 8 1020		639 763 1067	
TOTAL	782	461	433	67	433	34	2210	9230	198	227	396	117	10168	0	12378	
PER CENT	6.3	3.7	3+5	0.5	3.5	0+3	17.9	74.6	1 * 6	1.8	3+2	0+9	82.1	0.0	100.0	

TABLE 2: ACCUMULATED TOTALS OF RABIES CASES FOR THE PERIOD 1. JANUARY - 30. JUNE 1984.

* NO CASES, ** NO DATA FOR 2ND QUARTER.

t

TABLE 3

EUR EUROP	E	2/84				R A I 'OTI	B I E S HER ANII	C I MAL SI	A S E PECIES	S 3 ′				8	1. 4.84	- 30,	6,84
LOCATION		OTHER	ром	ESTIC AN	VIMALS						OTHER U	JILD ANIM	ALS				
CODE NAME	OTH.DO CARNIV	DONKEY	PIG	OTH.DO HERBIV	DOMEST RABBIT	OTHER	RACOON DOG	WILD CAT	WILD BOAR	CHAMOIS	HEDGE HOG	SQUIRREL	HOUSE MOUSE	MUSKRAT	WILD RABBIT	OTHER	TOTAL
AUT AUSTRIA	-					-	-			1			-		-		1
DDR GERMAN DEM.REP	-	-		-		-	-		1	i interio		****				. 	1
DEU F.REP. GERMANY			2		-		-	1	3	-			-	1	1	1	9
FRA FRANCE	-		-							(****)		-	-		-	13	13
HUN HUNGARY		÷.	-				-	1	-	-	-	است	=		-	-	1
POL POLAND	1			-	1	-	16	-	1	-	1	1	-		-	-	21
ROM ROMANIA	-	220	-	-		2		-	-	1000		Tare 1				2	4
SWI SWITZERLAND	-	-	755	-		-	-	-	-	1		-	5 (M)			-	1
TUR TURKEY	-	5	-	4	-	-	34	-			1.4		4	1121	-		13
YUG YUGOSLAVIA				-	ш.,	1				-	-	-		***		16	17
TOTAL	1	5	2	4	1	3	16	2	5	2	1	1	4	1	1	32	81
PER CENT	1.2	6+2	2.5	4.9	1 * 2	3.7	19+8	2+5	6+2	2.5	1+2	1+2	4.9	1+2	1+2	39,5	100.0

AUT AUSTRIA					RÁBI	E S	CASE	S					1. 4.	84 - 30	. 6.84
LOCATION	×	ром	EST	IC A	NIM	ALS			W I	LDA	м і м	ALS			
CODE NAME .	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TUTAL
<pre>109 OBERWART 202 VILLACH-STADT 203 HERMAGOR 204 KLAGENFURT-LAND 205 SANKT VEIT AN DER GL 206 SPITTAL AN DER DRAU 207 VILLACH-LAND 209 WOLFSBERG 210 FELDKIRCHEN 309 GMUEND 310 HOLLABRUNN 311 HORN 318 NEUNKIRCHEN 322 WAIDHOFEN AN DER THA 325 ZWETTL 406 FREISTADT 416 URFAHR-LAND 504 SANKT JOHANN IM PONG 505 TAMSWEG 506 ZELL AM SEE 606 GRAZ-LAND 607 HARTBERG 609 KNITTELFELD 614 MURAU 616 VOITSBERG 617 WEIZ 704 KITZBUEHEL 705 KUFSTEIN 708 REUTTE 201 D UDENT</pre>	1 1 1 1	1 2 1 1 1						$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 21 \\ 1 \\ 20 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 30 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3$			1 13 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1		$\begin{array}{c}1\\1\\7\\7\\8\\6\\0\\8\\1\\6\\2\\1\\2\\1\\3\\7\\0\\4\\8\\7\\1\\6\\8\\3\\5\\2\\9\end{array}$		1 1 2 7 2 8 7 1 8 7 1 8 7 1 8 7 1 8 7 1 8 7 1 2 7 2 8 7 1 8 7 8 7
802 BREGENZ 804 FELDKIRCH							0	4 3 4	1	1	1	- 1	4 6 6		4 6 6
TOTAL	1	7	0	0	З	0	11	363	25	10	31	1 .	430	0	441
PER CENT	0.2	1.6	0.0	0+0	0.7	0.0	2.5	82+3	5+7	2.3	7.0	0.2	97.5	0.0	100.0

×

RABIES CASES 1.4.84													84 - 30	. 6,84	
LOCATION		моа	EST	IC A	ΝΙМ	A L S			ωI	L D A	NIM	A L S			TOTAL
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TUTAL
BEL BELGIUM															
HH HAINHAUT LG LIEGE LI LIMBURG LX LUXEMBOURG NA NAMUR			5 10 5 8	-	2	1 1 1	5 12 0 5 11	8 17 1 9 17		1	1	1 1 1	8 18 1 9 18		13 30 1 14 29
TOTAL	1	0	28	0	4	0	33	52	1	1	0	0	54	0	87
PER CENT	1.1	0.0	32+2	0.0	4 + 6	0.0	37,9	59.8	1,1	1 + 1	0+0	0.0	62+1	0.0	100.0
LUX LUXEMBOU	RG														
00 LUXEMBOURG-VILLE 02 CAPELLEN 04 LUXEMBOURG-CAMPAGNE 06 CLERVAUX 08 REDANGE 13 REMICH	11		-		2 - 1		0 0 2 1 1	1 1 1 1			-		1 1 1 0 1		1 1 3 1 2
TOTAL	0	1	0	0	3	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	9
PER CENT	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	33.3	0+0	44,4	55.6	0+0	0.0	0+0	0.0	55.6	0+0	100.0
NET NETHERLA	NDS														
05 LIMBURG	-	-	1		-	-	1	10	5	-	-	-	15		16
PER CENT	0.0	0.0	6.2	0.0	0.0	0,0	6+2	62.5	31.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.7	0.0	100.0

DDR GERMAN DEMOCRAT	IC REPU	BLIC			RABI	E S I	CASE	S					1. 4.	84 - 30	. 6.84
LOCATION		мод	EST	IC A	ΝΙМ	ALS		WILD ANIMALS							
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL.	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TUTAL.
01 HAUPTSTADT BERLIN 02 COTTBUS 03 DRESDEN 04 ERFURT 05 FRANKFURT/ODER 06 GERA 07 HALLE 08 KARL-MARX-STADT 09 LEIPZIG		12211361			- 1 - - 9 -		0 1 3 4 3 2 5 18 2 18 2	2 9 24 11 31 28 30 10		- 21111110	- - 1 1 3 3 1		2 9 21 25 13 33 31 34 12		2 10 24 29 16 35 36 52 14
10 MAGDEBURG 11 NEUBRANDENBURG 12 POTSDAM 13 ROSTOCK 14 SCHWERIN 15 SUHL	1 2 1 2 -	1 	-	1		5 m 94 201 201 201 201	4 1 5 2 3 1	67 20 34 11 21 20	-	2	• 1 1	1	20 20 36 13 21 21		74 21 41 15 24 22
TOTAL PER CENT	14 3.4	.23 5.5	4	1	12 2.9	0 0 • 0	54 13.0	337 81.2	1 0+2	9 2.2	13 3.1	1	361 87.0	0.0	415 100.0

DEU FEDERAL REPUBLIC	OF GEF	RMANY		1	RABI	ES (CASE	S					1. 4.	84 - 30	. 6.84
LOCATION		DОМ	EST	IC A	NIM	ALS			WII	LD A	NIM	ALS			
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TUTAL
010 SCHLESWIG-HOLSTEIN 020 HAMBURG 031 BRAUNSCHWEIG 032 HANNOVER 033 LUENEBURG 034 WESER-EMS 040 BREMEN 051 DUESSELDORF 053 KOELN 055 MUENSTER 057 DETMOLD 059 ARNSBERG 061 DARMSTADT 062 KASSEL 071 KOBLENZ 072 TRIER 073 RHEINHESSEN-PFALZ 081 STUTTGART 082 KARLSRUHE 083 FREIBURG 084 TUEBINGEN 091 DBERBAYERN 092 NIEDERBAYERN 092 NIEDERBAYERN 093 OBERFFALZ 094 OBERFRANKEN 095 MITTELFRANKEN 096 UNTERFRANKEN 097 SCHWABEN 100 SAARLAND 110 BERLIN (WEST)	н н 2 н н 3 н н		-52-31187142-19-4152-			2	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 5\\ 8\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 6\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\32\\31\\2\\4\\54\\3\\56\\16\\48\\29\\101\\92\\134\\46\\8\\317\\49\\62\\9\\1\end{array} $	2 1 1 1 1 4 - 14 1 4 - 14 1 7 8 3 1 1 2 2 2 -		$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 8 \\ 1 \\ - \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ - \\ 4 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ - \\ 2 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$		$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 43 \\ 36 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 59 \\ 0 \\ 56 \\ 90 \\ 56 \\ 90 \\ 63 \\ 60 \\ 16 \\ 58 \\ 119 \\ 120 \\ 111 \\ 146 \\ 47 \\ 738 \\ 21 \\ 63 \\ 71 \\ 9 \\ 2 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 4\\ 48\\ 44\\ 3\\ 0\\ 4\\ 65\\ 0\\ 6\\ 3\\ 108\\ 79\\ 71\\ 28\\ 75\\ 126\\ 39\\ 131\\ 115\\ 157\\ 51\\ 157\\ 51\\ 74\\ 41\\ 22\\ 71\\ 74\\ 13\\ 2\end{array}$
TOTAL	11	37	56	10	46	2	162	1149	52	52	92	7	1352	0	1514
There we are a second s	V * 7	a • •••		.v • /	0+0	V+1.	10.17	7.5.47	(3 + ⁴)	0+4	0+1	V+J	07+0	V.V	10010

<u>____</u>

FRA FRANCE					RABI	ES	CASE	S					1. 4.	84 - 30	. 6.84
LOCATION		ром	EST	IC A	МΙИ	ALS			ωII	L D A	NIM	A.L.S			
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TOTAL
01 AIN 02 AISNE	5 1	3	- 1	1	4 1	(). (). ().	9 7	41 31	2		- 1	22	45 34		54 41
08 ARDENNES 10 AUBE	5	3	8	1	14	(1000) (1000)	31	27 21	-	-	1	2	30 22		61 26
25 DOUBS	3	1	2	2	4		6	25		-		2	48		36 54
51 MARNE	1	1		1	2	-	4	41 7	4	-		1	45		49
54 MEURTHE-ET-MOSELLE	2	1 3	1	ide Circle Circle	2		6	19	1		- 1		20		26
57 MOSELLE 58 NIEVRE							0	2				-	2		2
60 DISE 67 RHIN (BAS)	1		1	- 1			1	5 10		1777) 1777)	- 1	-	5		6 16
68 RHIN (HAUT) 70 SADNE (HAUTE) 71 SADNE ET LOIDE	2	1	4	2	2	-	3 11	27 49	2 1		-		29 50		32 61
73 SAVDIE 74 SAVDIE (HAUTE)		- 1		- 1	- 1		1 3	18			- 1		1 8 20		1 9 23
77 SEINE-ET-MARNE 88 VOSGES	1 4	6		1	-		2	29 21		***	-	1	30 21		32 32
89 YONNE 90 TERR.DE BELFORT	2		-) jeses	-		0 2	5 15	-	10-16 10-10	-	1.1	5 15		5 17
95 VAL D'OISE 99 NO LUCATION		-	1	(1000)			0 1	3				-	3		3
TOTAL	35	23	26	12	53	0	149	510	10	0	5	13	538	0	687
PER CENT	5.1	3.3	3.8	1.7	7.7	0.0	21.7	74.2	1,5	0.0	0.7	1.9	78.3	0.0	100.0

t

HUN HUNGARY				1	RABI	ES (CASE	S					1. 4.	84 - 30	. 6.84
LOCATION		ром	EST:	IC A	MIM	ALS			WII	D A	ИІИ	ALS			
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TOTAL
01 BUDAPEST 02 BARANYA 03 BACS-KISKUN 04 BEKES 05 BORSOD-ABAUJ-ZEMPLEN 06 CSONGRAD 07 FEJER 08 GYOER-SOPRON 09 HAJDU-BIHAR 10 HEVES 12 NOGRAD 13 PEST 14 SOMOGY 15 SZABOLCS-SZATMAR 16 SZOLNOK 17 TOLNA 18 VAS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	21	1		- 2 1		0 2 2 0 0 0 1 0 4 1 1 0 1 1 0 2 0	3 6 5 1 4 7 0 2 2 5 6 6 5 5 4 5 1 1			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 6 5 1 4 7 0 2 13 5 6 6 5 5 4 6 11		3 8 7 14 7 11 2 17 6 7 6 6 6 4 8 11
20 ZALA	LAN.	1.		1 844 L			0	13	277 1920	1	-	1991. 2020	13		13
TOTAL PER CENT	4 2.6	7 . 4.5	2 1.3	0 0 • 0	3 1.9	0 0.0	16 10+3	137 87.8	0+0	1 0.6	1	1 0.6	140 89.7	0.0	156 100.0

				ł	κ Α Β Ι	ES (CASE	S					1.4.	84 - 30	. 6.84
LOCATION		D 0 M	EST	IC A	NIM	ALS			WII	D A	NIM	ALS			-
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TUTAL
ITA ITALY															
11 AOSTA 22 COMO 23 SONDRIO 24 BERGAMO 25 BRESCIA 33 UDINE 28 TRENIO	2	-	-	10°	-		0 2 0 0	12 22 56 5 5 4	10	1 	-		13 22 68 7 5 4		13 22 70 7 5 4
TOTAL	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	123	10	5	0	0	138	0	141
PER CENT	1 . 4	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0 • 0	2.1	87.2	7 + 1	3.5	0 + 0	0+0	97.9	0.0	100.0
RUM ROMANIA															
01 ALBA 21 HARGHITA 22 HUNEDOARA 25 MARAMURES 32 SALAJ 36 TIMIS	1 1 1	- 1 1		1 1 1	2	- 1 1	0 2 0 1 2 1	2 1 4	- 1	-	-	1 1 -	3 0 3 0 4 0		3 2 3 1 6 1
TOTAL.	0	2	0	0	2	2	6	7	1	0	0	2	10	0	16
PER CENT	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	37.5	43.7	6.2	0.0	0.0	12.5	62.5	0.0	100.0
YUG YUGOSLAV	IA														
I SR BOSNA I HERCEGOVI III SR HRVATSKA V SR SLOVENIJA VI SR SRBIJA VII SAF VOJVODINA	2 - 2 - 2 - 1 - 2	- 2 - 2	1 5 - 1	1 1 1 1	1	1	1 9 2 2 4	6 173 170 1 22	4000 1000 1000 1000			- 8 7 - 1	6 181 177 1 23		7 190 179 3 27
TOTAL	5	4	7	0	1	1	18	372	0	0	0	16	388	0	406
PER CENT	1.2	1.0	1.7	0+0	0.2	0.2	4.4	91.6	0.0	0.0	0+0	3.9	95+6	0.0	100.0

POL POLAND				ŀ	RABI	ES (CASE	S				v.	1. 4.	84 - 30	. 6.84
LOCATION		ром	ESTI	C A	ИИИ	ALS			WII	L D A	NIM	ALS			75829-9-8-154
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TOTAL
03 BIALA PODLASKA 05 BIALYSTOK 07 BIELSKO-BIALA 09 BYDGOSZCZ	1 1 1	1 				-	2 1 0 2	- 4 1 13	1 1	-	-		1 6 1 15		3 7 1 17
13 CIECHANOW 17 ELBLAG 19 GDANSK 21 GORZOW	1 1 1	1					0 0 1 2 3	1 1 3 -	-	-	2		1 1 6 2		1 7 4
23 JELENIA GORA 25 KALISZ 31 KONIN 33 KOSZALIN	1	-			-	-	1 0 0	14 9 2 1		-			16 9 2 1		19 10 2 1
35 KRAKOW 37 KROSNO 39 LEGNICA	-	í	-	(3 <u>411</u> 3)				1 2		-			10 0 1 2		20 1 1 2
41 LESZRO 43 LUBLIN 51 OLSZTYN 53 OPOLE 53 OPOLE	1.1	1					1 2 1 1	1 3 3 9		• _	2	1 4 -	1 6 7 10		2 8 8 11
61 PLOCK 63 POZNAN 67 RADOM	2	3 	2	· · · · · ·			3 4 11 0	1 1 28 -		1 	- 6 -	- 1	2 1 37 1		5 5 48 1
71 SIEDLCE 75 SKIERNIEWICE 77 SLUPSK 79 SUWALKI	4	1		(1000) (1000)			0 0 4 3	2 13 1	2	- 2	1	- 2 5	4 1 17 7		4 1 21 10
81 SZCZECIN 83 TARNOBRZEG 87 TORUN 89 WALBRZYCH	4	2		2000	-		6 0 3 0	7 	1991) 1993 1995 1996		4		11 1 4 4		17 1 7 4
93 WRUCLAW 95 ZAMOSC 97 ZIELONA GORA		6	1			-	1 0 6	11 2 7			3		11 2 14		12 2 20
TOTAL PER CENT	28 9.9	34 12.0	5 1 • 8	0 0+0	0	2 0.7	69 24.3	152 53.5	5 1+8	13 4.6	26 9.2	19 6.7	215 75.7	0.0	284 100.0

200001200000			
SWI	SWITZERLAND	AND	LIECHTENSTEIN

RABIES CASES

1. 4.84 - 30. 6.84

LOCATION	DOMESTIC ANIMALS WILD ANIMALS									TOTAL					
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TUTAL
01 AARGAU	~~	1	5 000 0	-75		<u></u>	1	21	1				22		23
03 APPENZELL I.RH.		The second					0	(how)	1946	1	(1999) (1		1
04 BASEL-STADT							0		44	1			1		1
05 BASEL-LAND			(****)	· · · ·	2	200	2	11	4	2	****		17		19
06 BERN	1		1.444	100		141	1	15	6	3	10041		24		25
07 FRIBOURG					1		0	5	2			-	7		7
08 GENEVE							0	5		****	1444		5		5
09 GLARUS							0	11	2				13		13
10 GRAUBUENDEN		-	2	-			2	1					1		3
12 NEUCHATEL			(and)	1	200	Cale	1	100					0		1
15 SCHAFFHAUSEN					1		0	8			1.1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8		8
17 SOLOTHURN	1				2	•	3	13	2	2	2	1	19		22
18 ST.GALLEN		6	1	4-84	1		8	10	1000		2		10		18
20 THURGAU		1	-				1	8		1	1		10		11
22 VAUD	1	1	-			hase	2	55	2	1	1	1	60		62
25 ZUERICH		2	1		2		5	26	-	2	5		31		36
26 JURA			1		2		3	6	1	1	-	-	8		11
LI LIECHTENSTEIN		-		dit.	1	3774	1	2	-				2		3
TOTAL	3	11	5	1	10	0	30	197	20	14	7	1	239	0	269
PER CENT	1 . 1	4 • 1	1.9	0.4	3.7	0.0	11.2	73.2	7.4	5.2	2.6	0.4	88.8	0.0	100.0

TUR TURKEY				1	RABI	ES	CASE	S				v	1. 4.	84 - 30	. 6.84
LOCATION		ром	EST	IC A	ΝΙМ	ALS			WII	D A	мім	ALS			
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	CASES	TOTAL
001 ADANA 002 ADIYAMAN 003 AFYON 005 AMASYA 006 ANKARA 007 ANTALYA 009 AYDIN 010 BALIKESIR 011 BILECIK 012 BINGOEL 014 BOLU 015 BURDUR 016 BURSA 017 CANAKKALE 018 CANKIRI 019 CORUM 020 DENIZLI 019 CORUM 020 DENIZLI 021 DIYARBAKIR 022 EDIRNE 023 ELAZIG 024 ERZINCAN 025 ERZURUM 026 ESKISEHIR 027 GAZIANTEP 028 GIRESUN 029 GUEMUESHANE 031 HATAY 032 ISPARTA 033 ICEL	$ \begin{array}{c} 10\\ 1\\ 13\\ 1\\ 8\\ 35\\ 2\\ 7\\ 1\\ 8\\ 3\\ -5\\ 14\\ 1\\ -1\\ 2\\ 4\\ 6\\ -\\ 7\\ 1\\ 4\\ \end{array} $		$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ - \\ - \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ - \\ - \\ 1 \\ - \\ 1 \\ - \\ 1 \\ - \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} $		1 - 2 - 1		15 1 18 14 10 8 4 71 9 6 15 3 1 2 3 5 1 1 1 1 1 6					1			$15 \\ 1 \\ 18 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$
034 ISTANBUL	11	1	1	in.		л 	13						0		13

TUR CONTINUED				-											
LOCATION		DОМ	EST	IC A	NIM	ALS			WII	L D A	ИІМ	ALS		[
CODE NAME	DOG	CAT	CATTLE	HORSE	SHEEP GOAT	OTHERS	TOTAL	FOX	BADGER	OTHER MUSTEL	DEER	OTHERS	TOTAL	HUMAN CASES	TOTAL
035 IZMIR 036 KARS	27	5	5		-	1	38						0		38
037 KASTAMONU	9	1	8				18						ő		18
038 KAYSERI 039 KIRKLARELI	3		1				4						0		4 2
040 KIRSEHIR	4	1175 (1 1175 (1		-			4						0		4
042 KONYA	13	6	4	-	1		26						0		17 26
044 MALATYA 045 MANISA	1		- 1	-		-	1						0		1
046 KAHRAMAN MARAS	1			1	1		1						0 0		1
047 MARDIN 048 MUGLA	2		-	-	1		1						0		1
050 NEVSEHIR	3	1	1	-		-	4	-					ŏ		4
052 ORDU	10		1	and a second sec			11						0		1
054 SAKARYA 055 SAMSUN	12		6			6 000	18				10000		0		18
057 SINOP 058 SIVAS	3		2				5					1	0		5
060 TOKAT	2		1				3						0		3
061 TRABZON 063 URFA	1 2						1	1944	1		200	-	1		2
064 USAK 066 YOZGAT	- 4	1	- 3		- 1	(1000) (1000)	1 8						0		1 8
067 ZONGULDAK	5		13		3440 -	1	19						0		19
TOTAL	280	30	82	5	15	9	421	0	1	0	0	4	5	0	426
PER CENT	65.7	7.0	19.2	1 * 2	3.5	2.1	98.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.2	0.0	100.0

USR UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS (EUROPEAN PART)	RABIES (IN ANIMAL	CASES S		1,10,83 - 31,12,83
LOCATION)	DATES		T (5 T A 1
CODE NAME	1.10 31.10.	1.11 30.11.	1.12 31.12.	TUTAL
01 RSFSR 011 REGIONS OF THE NORTH AND THE NORTH-WEST 012 REGIONS OF THE CENTRE 013 REGIONS OF THE NORTH CAUCASUS 014 REGIONS OF THE POVOLJE AND THE URALS 02 THE MOLDAVIAN SSR 03 THE UKRAINIAN SSR 04 THE BYELORUSSIAN SSR 05 THE LITHUANIAN SSR 06 THE LATVIAN SSR 07 THE ESTONIAN SSR	8 3 10 1 34 3 1 2 2	7 8 12 1 37 3 2 5 1	13 11 14 - 41 4 2 2 2 1	28 22 36 2 112 10 5 9 4
TOTAL	64	76	88	228

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

AUT AUSTRIA

Dr. W. K r o c z a Director Dr. E. S c h a r f e n Bundesanstalt für Tierseuchenbekämpfung Robert-Koch-Gasse 17 A-2340 Mödling /Austria

- BEL BELGIUM Dr. R. D e p i e r r e u x Ministère de l'Agriculture -Inspection Vétérinaire-18, Bd. de Berlaimont B-1000 Bruxelles /Belgium
- BUL BULGARIA Dr. N. T. B e l e v Directeur Général des Services Vétérinaires Ministry of Agriculture Sofia /Bulgaria
- CZE CZECHOSLOVAKIA Dr. M. C a p k a Chief Veterinary Officer Dr. J. N e u m a n n Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Food 11006 Praha-Tesnov /CSR
- DDR GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC Dr. K.-H. L e b e n t r a u Ministerrat der Deutschen Demokratischen Republik Min. f. Gesundheitswesen Hauptabt. Internationale Beziehungen /Abt. Nichtsozialistische Staaten / WHO Rathausstr. 3 DDR 102 Berlin
- DEN DENMARK Dr. E. S t o u g a a r d Chief Vet. Officer Veterinaerdirektoratet Frederiksgade 21 DK-1265 Copenhagen /Denmark Dr. S. M ø l l g a a r d Senior Veterinary Officer Solsortevej 3B DK-8210 Aarhus /Denmark Dr. J. M u e l l e r State Veterinary Serum Lab. Bülowsvej 27 DK-1870 Copenhagen /Denmark

FIN FINLAND Dr. R. B e r g e r Chief of Animal Health Division Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Veterinary Department Helsinki /Finland

- FRA FRANCE Dr. J. B l a n c o u Directeur Centre d'Etudes sur la Rage de Nancy B.P. No. 9 Malzeville /France
- GBR UNITED KINGDOM Dr. W.H.G. R e e s Chief Veterinary Officer Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries & Food -Animal Health Division-Tolworth Surbiton/Surrey
- GRE <u>GREECE</u> Dr. V. H a n t z i s Director of Zooanthrop. Div. Dr. E. T s a g l a s Veterinary Officer Dep. of Echinococcosis-Rab. Veterinary Services Ministry of Agriculture Hellenic Republic 2, Acharnon Street Athens (102) / Greece
- HUN HUNGARY Dr. A. G l ó z i k Director of Veterinary Services Dr. Laszlo K o l t a i Ministry of Agriculture Kossuth L. tér 9-11 Budapest V. /Hungary
- ICE ICELAND Dr. Páll A. P á l s s o n Chief Veterinary Officer Postbox 110 Reykjavik /Iceland
- IRE IRELAND Dr. P. J. O'C on nor Deputy Director Veterinary Serv. Dr. P. J. R og a n Veterinary Liaison Officer Department of Agriculture Agriculture House Dublin 2 /Ireland

Dr. A. M a n t o v a n i Laboratorio di Parassitologia Istituo Superiore di Sanità Viale Regina Elena, 299 I-00161 Roma

Dr. S. Prosperi Istituto di Malatti Infettive Univ. degli Studi di Bologna Via S. Giacomo 9/2 I-40126 Bologna /Italy

LUX LUXEMBOURG Dr. R. F r i s c h Directeur de l'Inspect.Gèn.Vét. Ministère de l'Agriculture 89, Rue d'Anvers B.P. 1403 Luxembourg

- NET <u>NETHERLANDS</u> Dr. C.J. V e r m e u l e n Staatsoezicht op de Volksgezondheid Veterinaire Hoofdinspectie v.d.Volksgezondheid Postbus 439 2260 AK Leidschendam /Netherl.
- NOR NORWAY Dr. Reidar V o l l a n Director of Vet. Services

Dr. H.O. B a c h - G a n s m o Deputy Dir. of Vet. Services Det Kongelige Landbruksdepartm. Akersgate 42/Postboks 8007 Dep. Oslo 1 /Norway

POL POLAND

Dr. Andrzej B a d y o c z e k Head of Animal Health Division -Veterinary Department-Ministry of Agriculture ul. Wspolna 00-930 Warszawa /Poland

Dr. Danuta S e r o k o w a Head of Anthropozoonoses Lab. National Institute of Hygiene ul. Chocimska 24 00-791 Warszawa /Poland

POR PORTUGAL

Dr. Mário T e i x e i r a Min. da Agriculture e Pescas Direccao-Geral dos Servicos Pec. Servicos de Sanidade Vet. Lissabon /Portugal 32

- ROM ROMANIA Dr. Valer T e u s d e a Directeur de la Direction Sanitaire Vétérinaire Ministère de l'Agriculture B-dul Republicii 24 Bucuresti 3 /Romania
- SPA SPAIN Dr. M.A. D i a z Y u b e r o Subdirector General de Sanidad Animal Ministerio de Agricultura Madrid /Espagne
- SWE <u>SWEDEN</u> Dr. B. H e n r i c s o n Head of Department Lantbruksstyrelsen National Board of Agriculture Veterinary and Animal Production Department Vallgatan 6 S-551 83 Jönköping /Sweden
- SWI <u>SWITZERLAND</u> Dr. A.I. W a n d e l e r Vet. Bacteriological Institute University of Berne Länggass Str. 122 CH-3001 Berne /Switzerland
- TUR TURKEY Dr. Hasan Ertan General Director of Vet. Serv. Dr. F. Y ücel Director, Zoonoses Department Tarim ve Orman Bakanligi, Ministry of Agriculture Ankara /Turkey

USR UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS Prof. B. C h e r k a s s k i y Chief of Zoonoses Laboratory Acad. V. P o k r o v s k i y Head of Central Institute Central Institute of Epidemiology Ministry of Public Health Moscow /USSR

YUG YUGOSLAVIA

Dr. M. R a d o v a n o v i c Adviser, Veterinary Department Federal Committee for Agriculture Belgrad /Yugoslavia Dr. Milos P e t r o v i ć Institut Pasteur Hajduk Veljkova 1 21000 Novi Sad /Yugoslavia







